UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,045	03/13/2004	Charles P. Plant	1004-01	6729	
	7590 03/27/200 OF JILL SHEDD & A		EXAM	INER	
430 FRANKLII	N VILLAGE DR	,	BEN, LOHA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
#212 FRANKLIN, M	1A 02038				
,			2873		
			•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	03/27/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				- IV		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/799,045	PLANT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Loha Ben	2873			
Period ¹	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	••		
A S WH - Ex aft - If N - Fa	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)区	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 h	larch 2004.				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispos	ition of Claims					
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application					
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·		8		
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applica	ition Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er er	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			21(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage	€		
	application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ad	•		
·	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. or the certified copies flot receive	5 u.			
Attachm		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (DTO 412)			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	/ (PTO-413) Pate	•			
3) 🔲 Inf	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/799,045

Art Unit: 2873

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to saccadic-motion detection device and system for detecting saccadic eye movements, classified in class 351, subclass 209.
- II. Claims 17-34, drawn to a system for detecting saccadic eye movements and method of processing saccadic motion metrics, classified in class 351, subclass 209.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention II (combination) does not require focusing mechanism of invention I (subcombination) to carry out its invention. The subcombination has separate utility such as in detection of eye movement focusing of light reflected from the subject's eye is a critical factor.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or

Application/Control Number: 10/799,045

Art Unit: 2873

divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It is to be further pointed out that in invention I, the search needs to be expanded to at least class 606, sub-classes 2-5,10-14, 18 and 19; and class 600, sub-classes 558 and 585.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 10/799,045

Art Unit: 2873

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Loha Ben whose telephone number is (571) 272-2323. The examiner can normally be reached on M-SAT, generally between 12:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack, can be reached on M-F, at (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2873

March 24, 2007

Loha Ben Primary Examiner